



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

ORDER SETTING CIVIL ACTIONS FOR RULE 16 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

The civil actions identified on the attached page are SET for management conference on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>June 8, 2004</u>. Counsel and unrepresented parties are <u>ORDERED</u> to report to the United States Courthouse at 10:00 a.m. Continuance of the management conference will not be allowed absent a showing of good cause.

Before the case management conference, counsel should review the FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE (as amended December 1, 2003) and the L OCAL COURT RULES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (as amended April 4, 2003). The L OCAL COURT RULES are available on the Eastern District of Texas website (http://www.txed.uscourts.gov).

Counsel should pay particular attention to FED. R. CIV. P. 26(f), which requires parties to confer not less than 21 days prior to the management conference. The Rule 26(f) attorney conference may be conducted over the telephone.

Before commencing the Rule 26(f) attorney conference, counsel must discuss settlement options with their clients, including whether an offer or demand should be made at the Rule 26(f) attorney conference. Counsel should also inquire whether their clients are amenable to trial before a United States magistrate judge. Parties willing to consent should submit the appropriate form (Appendix "B" to the LOCAL RULES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS) to the district clerk as soon as possible so that the case can be reset for management conference before the magistrate judge to whom the case is assigned.

Rule 26(f) requires attorneys of record and all unrepresented parties to attempt in good faith to agree on a proposed docket control order and to file with the clerk no later than 14 days after the conference a joint report outlining their proposals. The joint conference report must be filed no later than 7 days prior to the management conference.

The parties must include the following matters in the joint conference report:

- (1) a brief factual and legal synopsis of the case;
- (2) whether initial mandatory disclosure has been completed;
- (3) suggested modifications of the docket control order deadlines (the Court's proposed docket control order deadlines are attached hereto as Appendix A);
- (4) what changes, if any, should be made to the limitations on discovery imposed by the rules, including the number of depositions and interrogatories;
- (5) the identity of persons to be deposed;
- (6) whether any other orders should be entered by the Court pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 26(c), FED. R. CIV. P. 16(b) or FED. R. CIV. P. 16(c);
- (7) estimated trial time;
- (8) the name of an agreed mediator; and
- (9) the names of the attorneys who will appear on behalf of the parties at the management conference (the appearing attorney must be an attorney of record and have full authority to bind the client).

Failure to submit the joint conference report invites imposition of sanctions specifically authorized by FED. R. CIV. P. 16(f).

Discovery shall not commence until the parties have conferred under Rule 26(f). See FED. R. CIV. P. 26(d). After the Rule 26(f) attorney conference, the parties may be deposed. Absent court order, no other depositions will be allowed prior to the management conference. Following the management conference, the Court will enter a docket control order establishing parameters of discovery and setting deadlines controlling disposition of the case.

Nongovernmental corporate parties are directed to review FEDERAL RULE 7.1, which

requires the filing of a corporate disclosure statement contemporaneously with the initial appearance.

Attorneys and *pro se* litigants who appear in this Court must be vigilant in their efforts to comply with the deadlines set forth in the FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, the LOCAL RULES FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS and this Court's docket control order.

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this the _____ day of May, 2004.

Thad Heartfield

United States District Judge

Appendix A

PROPOSED DOCKET CONTROL ORDER DEADLINES¹ FOR CASES SET FOR MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

May 18, 2004	Rule 26(f) conference must occur by this date
June 1, 2004	Joint attorney conference report due
June 8, 2004	Management Conference
July 8, 2004	Deadline to add parties
September 6, 2004	Deadline to file motions for leave to amend pleadings Deadline to file motions to dismiss Deadline to file motions for summary judgement
October 6, 2004	Mediation must occur by this date Disclosure of plaintiff's expert testimony
November 16, 2004	Disclosure of defendant's expert testimony
December 7, 2004	Discovery deadline (excluding expert discovery) Deadline to file motions objecting to experts
December 21, 2004	Plaintiff's portion of pre-trial order sent to defendant
December 28, 2004	Notice of intent to offer certified records
January 4, 2005	Motions in limine due Objections to use of depositions, documents, exhibits, summaries of evidence and exhibits at trial Joint final pre-trial order Proposed jury instructions/form of verdict
January 11, 2005	Response to motions in limine due
January 13, 2005	Pre-marked exhibit list due
January 18, 2005	Dates parties should be prepared to try case

¹ If a deadline falls on a Saturday, Sunday or federal holiday, the effective date is the first federal court business day following the deadline imposed.

MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES - BEAUMONT, TEXAS U.S. District Judge Thad Heartfield, Presiding Tuesday, June 8, 2004 10:00 a.m.

04-cv-51		TIMOTHY KING, ET AL V. PREMCOR REFINING GROUP, INC.
	P:	Steven Barkley
	D:	Keith Kebodeaux
03-cv-882		ENZA CRAVEN V. VHA SOUTHWEST COMM. HEALTH CORP
	P:	A. Rodman Johnson
		Donald Wyatt, Jr.
	D:	Dewey Gonsoulin
03-cv-1331		DEBORAH RILEY V. VHA SOUTHWEST COMM. HEALTH CORP
	n.	A. Dadway Library
	P: D:	A. Rodman Johnson Dewey Gonsoulin
	٠.	
0.4 50		With this of the control of the cont
04-cv-53		JENNINGS TYSON GODSY V. NBME
	P:	Kenneth Lewis
		Jeffrey Roebuck
	D:	Elizabeth Pratt
04-cv-81		CLARENCE P. BOWMAN V. DYNASTY TRASPORTATION INC.
	P:	Joe Ragland
	D:	Michael McGown
04-cv-146		COREY S. BABINEAUX V. MARSH WATERPROFFING INC.
	P:	Keith Kebodeaux
	D:	Nathan Brandimarte
		J. Hoke Peacock, II

04-cv-129 ANTANISHA NICOLE BUTLER V. WILSON INDUSTRIES INC.

P: Kenneth Lewis

D: Kevin White